



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,100	06/20/2005	Charanjit Bountra	P33167	6422
20462 7590 11/02/2007 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939			EXAMINER JEAN-LOUIS, SAMIRA JM	
			ART UNIT 4173	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/02/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US\_cipkop@gsk.com

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/540,100

**Applicant(s)**

BOUNTRA ET AL.

**Examiner**

Samira Jean-Louis

**Art Unit**

4173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, and 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Sheet (1).
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Claims 1-12 are currently pending in the application.

Applicant's election of N-(2-Bromophenyl)-N'-[(((R)-1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)]urea as the VR-1 antagonist and rofecoxib as the COX-2 inhibitor in the reply filed on 10/09/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Thus, the requirement is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 6 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### ***Priority***

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) for foreign priority based on an application filed in Great Britain on 12/20/2002, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### ***Specification***

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In

Art Unit: 4173

this application, the use of a specific vanilloid receptor antagonist (VR-1) and Cox-2 inhibitor are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, however, applicant did not specifically described the VR-1 antagonist and/or the Cox-2 inhibitor in the claim(s) (i.e. claim 8) or in the specification (see specification pg. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, pg. 28-29, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph, and pg. 37-38, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph). Consequently, due to the absence of the exact vanilloid receptor antagonists and/or Cox-2 inhibitor being claimed by applicant to be used in their method, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be enabled to make and/or use the invention.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Culshaw (US 2004/0138454 A1).**

Culshaw et al. discloses a method of treating pain in rats (see page 3, paragraph 0036 and paragraph 0040) by administering vanilloid receptor blockers (see page 3, paragraph 0039 and 0041). Additionally, Culshaw discloses the use of NSAID and

Art Unit: 4173

COX-2 inhibitors (i.e. rofecoxib) to be administered in combination with the vanilloid receptor blocker (see page 4, paragraph 0049).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Culshaw (US 2004/0138454 A1) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7 above, and further in view of Rami et al. (WO 03/022809, already cited by applicant and disclose on an IDS 1449 form).**

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The Culshaw reference is as described above and incorporated by reference herein. However, Culshaw does not address the specific use of N-(2-Bromophenyl)-N'-[(((R)-1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)]urea as the vanilloid receptor in its method of treatment.

Rami et al. teaches the use of the vanilloid receptor antagonist N-(2-Bromophenyl)-N'-[(((R)-1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)]urea in the treatment of pain (see page 15 and page 33, example 1).

Thus, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to utilize the vanilloid receptor antagonist of Rami et al. in the method of Culshaw et al. since Rami teaches novel vanilloid receptor antagonists with high pharmacological activities. Given that Culshaw teaches a method of treating pain and Rami teaches the use of a specific vanilloid receptor antagonist for pain treatment, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to utilize the compound of Rami et al. in the method of Culshaw with the expectation of providing a successful composition with anti-hyperalgesic effects.

While administration of the vanilloid receptor antagonist and NSAID was not stated as being administered as a sub-maximal amount. It is common in the art to experiment with various doses of combinatorial drugs in order to achieve the most

Art Unit: 4173

effective dosage including submaximal amount for avoidance of drug-drug interactions and adverse toxicity effects.

**Conclusion**

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samira Jean-Louis whose telephone number is 571-270-3503. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 PM EST M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJL

10/25/2007

  
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER